



The canonical and alternate duals of a wavelet frame

Lemvig, Jakob

Publication date:
2007

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):


Lemvig, J. (2007). *The canonical and alternate duals of a wavelet frame*. Poster session presented at Trends in Harmonic Analysis 2007, Strobl, Salzburg, Austria. <http://www.univie.ac.at/nuhag-php/strobl07/index.php>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



The Canonical and Alternate Duals of a Wavelet Frame

Abstract

In the paper [3] we explore the relationship between canonical and alternate dual frames of a wavelet frame. We show that there exists a frame wavelet ψ with fast decay in the time domain and compact support in the frequency domain generating a wavelet system whose canonical dual frame is not a wavelet system generated by an arbitrary number of functions. On the other hand, there exists infinitely many alternate duals of ψ generated by a single function. Our argument closes a gap in the original proof of this fact by Daubechies and Han [7]

Introduction

Suppose ψ is an orthonormal wavelet and let $\theta(x) = \psi(x) + \varepsilon 2^{1/2} \psi(2x)$ for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then θ generates a wavelet Riesz basis whose (canonical) dual is not of the form $\{2^{j/2} \phi(2^j x - k) : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi \in \Phi\}$ for any finite set Φ of generators ([5, 6]), i.e., the period of θ is infinite. Obviously, the Riesz wavelet has no alternate wavelet duals either, so one might ask:

Does the existence of a dual wavelet frame imply wavelet structure of the canonical dual?

This was negatively answered by Daubechies and Han [7]:

Theorem 1. *There exists a frame wavelet $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that:*

- (i) ψ is C^∞ and compactly supported,
- (ii) its canonical dual frame is not a wavelet system generated by a single function,
- (iii) there are infinitely many $\tilde{\psi}$ such that ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ form a pair of dual frame wavelets. \square

Issue: A mistake of a simple change of sign invalidates the original proof in [7] to the extent that an easy remedy appears to be doubtful.

Therefore, there is a need to provide an alternative proof of Theorem 1. We will use a completely different approach motivated by [4]. Instead of trying to work directly with the frame operator as in [7], we will use a less direct approach using the following result of Bownik and Weber [4]:

Fact: *If the canonical dual is a wavelet system generated by one function, then the space of negative dilates is \mathbb{Z} shift invariant.*

Idea of new proof: Construct a nice frame wavelet with an alternate wavelet dual and a non \mathbb{Z} shift invariant space of negative dilates. Use the negation of the above fact to conclude that the canonical dual does not have wavelet structure.

Notation

A frame for a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a collection of vectors $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\exists C_1, C_2 > 0 \quad C_1 \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, f_j \rangle|^2 \leq C_2 \|f\|^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If the upper bound holds in the above inequality, then $\{f_j\}$ is said to be a Bessel sequence. Two Bessel sequences $\{f_j\}$ and $\{g_j\}$ are said to be *dual frames* if $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, g_j \rangle f_j$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. At least one dual always exists, it is given by $\{S^{-1} f_j\}$ and called the canonical dual, where the frame operator of $\{f_j\}$ is given by $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, Sf = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, f_j \rangle f_j$. Redundant frames have several duals; a dual which is not the canonical dual is called an *alternate* dual.

A closed subspace $W \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be $M\mathbb{Z}$ shift invariant if $TM_z W \subset W$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. The wavelet system generated by $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_L\}$, is defined as $\{\psi_{j,k} : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\}$ where $\psi_{j,k} := \psi(2^j \cdot - k) \equiv D_k^j T_j \psi$. Given a frame wavelet Ψ , the *space of negative dilates* $V(\Psi)$ is:

$$V(\Psi) = \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{j < 0} W_{j^+}(\Psi), \quad W_{j^+}(\Psi) = \overline{\text{span}} \{\psi_{j,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Period of a Frame Wavelet

Definition 1. Suppose that $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_L\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a frame wavelet associated with an integer dilation factor a , $|a| \geq 2$. The *period* of Ψ is the smallest integer $p \geq 1$ such that for all $f \in \text{span} \{T_k \psi : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi\}$,

$$T_{pk} S^{-1} f = S^{-1} T_{pk} f \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where S is the frame operator of the wavelet frame generated by Ψ . If there is no such p , we say that the period of Ψ is ∞ . \square

Proposition 1 ([4]). *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$. If Ψ is a frame wavelet and the period of Ψ divides M , then $V(\Psi)$ is shift invariant by the lattice $M\mathbb{Z}$. In addition, if Ψ is a Riesz wavelet, then the period of Ψ divides M if and only if $V(\Psi)$ is shift invariant by the lattice $M\mathbb{Z}$. \square*

The canonical dual of Ψ has the wavelet structure generated by $|\Psi|$ functions if, and only if the period of Ψ is one. Moreover, we have:

Proposition 2 ([3]). *Suppose that $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_L\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a frame wavelet. For any nonnegative integer $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $P(\Psi) \mid M$, i.e., the period of Ψ , denoted $P(\Psi)$, divides M .
- (ii) There exist *ML* functions $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{ML}\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{D_k^j T_{Mk} \phi_j\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi \in \Phi}$ is the *canonical dual* of $\{D_k^j T_k \psi_j\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi} = \{D_k^j T_{Mk} \psi_j\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}, \psi \in \Psi_M}$, where $\Psi_M := \{T_m \psi : m = 0, \dots, M-1, \psi \in \Psi\}$. \square

Hence, if the period $P(\Psi)$ of a frame wavelet Ψ is finite, then the canonical dual frame is a wavelet system generated by $P(\Psi) \cdot |\Psi|$ functions, and this is the least number of generators.

The Main Theorem

We will prove the following extension of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *For all $J \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a frame wavelet $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that:*

- (i) ψ is C^∞ and compactly supported,
- (ii) its canonical dual frame is not a wavelet system generated by fewer than 2^J functions,
- (iii) there are infinitely many $\tilde{\psi}$ such that ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ form a pair of dual wavelet frames.

Proof (Sketch). Fix $J \in \mathbb{N}$. Construct a smooth frame wavelet $\psi = \psi^0 + \varepsilon \psi^1$ as in Lemma 1 and Figure 1 with $N = J + 3$ and with a space of negative dilates $V(\psi)$ not shift invariant under $M\mathbb{Z}$ for any $M = 1, 2, \dots, 2^J$ (see Lemma 2). By Proposition 1 the period of such a framelet ψ will be at least 2^J . Therefore, by Proposition 2, the canonical dual is at least generated by 2^J functions. Finally, use the characteristic equations for dual wavelets frames to explicitly show that ψ_0 is an alternate dual wavelet of ψ ; see Figure 1. \blacksquare

Lemma 1. *For every $N \geq 4$ and $0 < \delta < 2^{-N}$, there exists a frame wavelet ψ such that $\hat{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$(1) \quad \hat{\psi}(\xi) \neq 0 \iff \xi \in (-1/2, -1/4) \cup (1/2, 3/4) \cup (-2^{-N+1} - \delta, -2^{-N} + \delta) \cup (2^{-N} - \delta, 2^{-N+1} + \delta)$$
$$(2) \quad \hat{\psi}(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(\xi - 1) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \in (1/2, 3/4). \quad \square$$




Figure 1: Sketch of the graph of $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi}^0 + \varepsilon \hat{\psi}^1$; ψ and ψ^0 are (alternate) duals.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies (1) and (2) for some $N \geq 4$ and $0 < \delta < 2^{-N}$. Then the space of negative dilates $V(\psi)$ is **not** $p\mathbb{Z}$ -SI for any $p < 2^{N-3}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. \square*

Proof (Sketch). Use that $V(\psi) = \overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{j < 0} W_j(\psi)$, that $f \in W_0(\psi)$ if and only if $f(2^j \cdot) \in W_j(\psi)$, and that the principal shift-invariant subspace $W_0(\psi)$ can be described as

$$W_0(\psi) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f} = \hat{\psi} m \quad \text{for some measurable, 1-periodic } m\}.$$

Note that $f \in V(\psi)$ if $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-2^{-N}, 2^{-N}]$. Show that $T_p f \notin V(\psi)$ for $p < 2^{N-3}$ and $f = \chi_{[2^{-N+2}, 3/2 \cdot 2^{-N+2}] \cup [-2^{-N+2}, -1/2 \cdot 2^{-N+2}]} \in V(\psi)$. See [3, Lemma 2] for the technical details. \blacksquare

The Result in Another Perspective

Anscher [1] proved that every “regular” orthonormal wavelet $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is associated with an MRA. “Regular” means that $|\hat{\psi}|$ is continuous and $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1/2-\delta})$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$. This fact does not hold for tight frame wavelets. In fact, Beggitt et al. [2] constructed a non-MRA C^r tight frame wavelet with rapid decay for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Once we allow non-tight frame wavelets we might lose even the GMRA property. Indeed, the frame wavelet from Theorem 2 is an example of a non-GMRA C^∞ frame wavelet with rapid decay.

References

- [1] P. Anscher, *Solution of two problems on wavelets*, J. Geom. Anal. **5** (1995), 181–236.
- [2] L. Beggitt, P. Jorgensen, K. Merrill, J. Packer, *A non-MRA C^r frame wavelet with rapid decay*, Acta Appl. Math. **89** (2005), no. 1-3, 251–270.
- [3] M. Bownik, J. Lemvig, *The canonical and alternate duals of a wavelet frame*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. To appear.
- [4] M. Bownik, E. Weber, *Affine frames, GMRA's, and the canonical dual*, Studia Math. **150** (2003), no. 3, 453–479.
- [5] C. Chui, X. Shi, *Inequalities of Littlewood-Paley type for frames and wavelets*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **24** (1993), 263–277.
- [6] I. Daubechies, *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **36** (1990), 961–1005.
- [7] I. Daubechies, B. Han, *The canonical dual frame of a wavelet frame*, Appl. Comp. Harmonic Anal. **12** (2002), no. 3, 269–285.